

1 FABIO E. MARINO (STATE BAR NO. 183825)
2 fmarino@mwe.com
3 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
4 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100
5 Menlo Park, California 94025
6 Telephone: 650-815-7400
7 Facsimile: 650-815-7401

8
9 DENISE M. MINGRONE (STATE BAR NO. 135224)
10 dmingrone@orrick.com
11 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
12 1000 Marsh Road
13 Menlo Park, California 94025
14 Telephone: 650-614-7400
15 Facsimile: 650-614-7401

16 Attorneys for Defendant
17 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS
SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:11-cv-05493-LHK

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
DISMISSING ACTION IN FAVOR OF
DEFENDANT AND AGAINST
PLAINTIFF**

Ctrm: 8, 4th Floor
Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 On May 29, 2012, the Court issued an order granting Defendant Brocade Communications
 2 Systems Inc.'s ("Brocade") motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff A10 Neworks, Inc.
 3 ("A10") lacks standing to assert the claim alleged in A10's First Amended Complaint and for
 4 A10's failure to join necessary and indispensable parties. D.I. 081. The Court granted A10
 5 twenty-one (21) days to file an amended complaint to add parties. The 21 days has elapsed and
 6 A10 has failed to file an amended complaint. As a result, the Court hereby dismisses this case
 7 and enters judgment in favor of Brocade and against A10 in the above-entitled action. Each party
 8 to bear its own fees and costs. The Clerk shall close the file.

9 Dated: June 27, 2012

10 
 11 Hon. Lucy H. Koh
 12 United States District Judge

13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28